6:19-cv-01567-JD Date Filed 01/17/23 Entry Number 256-6 Page 1 of 18

EXHIBIT 5

		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
2	GREENVILLE DIVISION	
_	Case No. 6:19-cv-01567-TMC	
3	TDEN DOGEDS and DRANDY WELGE	
4	EDEN ROGERS and BRANDY WELCH,	
4	Plaintiffs,	
5	-against- UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH	
5	AND HUMAN SERVICES;	
6	AND HOMAN SERVICES,	
7	XAVIER BECERRA, in his official	
•	capacity as Secretary of the UNITED	
8	STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN	
	SERVICES;	
9	,	
10	ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND	
	FAMILIES;	
11		
12	JOOYEUN CHANG, in her official	
	capacity as Assistant Secretary of	
13	the ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND	
	FAMILIES;	
14		
	JOOYEUN CHANG, in her official	
15	capacity as Principal Deputy	
	Assistant Secretary of the	
16	ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND	
	FAMILIES;	
17	HENDY MANACHED in his assisted	
1.0	HENRY McMASTER, in his official	
18	capacity as Governor of the STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; and	
19	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; and	
1 2	MICHAEL LEACH, in his official	
20	capacity as State Director of the	
20	SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL	
21	SERVICES,	
	Defendants.	
22	x	
23	July 8, 2022	
2 4	DEPOSITION OF SHANEKA McDANIEL-OLIVER	
25		

	Page 2
1	
2	July 8, 2022
3	9:02 a.m.
4	
5	Remote Videotaped 30(b)(6)
6	Deposition of South Carolina Department
7	of Social Services by SHANEKA
8	McDANIEL-OLIVER, taken pursuant to Notice
9	by Plaintiffs via Zoom before Dawn
10	Matera, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
11	and Notary Public for the State of New
12	York.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Eden Rogers and
5	Brandy Welch
	825 Eighth Avenue
6	New York, New York 10019
	(212) 474-1247
7	
	By: CRISTOPHER RAY, ESQ.
8	cray@cravath.com
	MIKA MADGAVKAR, ESQ.
9	mmadgavkar@cravath.com
10	
	-and-
11	
	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
12	125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
	New York, New York 10004
13	
	By: LESLIE COOPER, ESQ.
14	lcooper@aclu.org
15	
	NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
16	Attorneys for the State Defendants
	2 W. Washington Street, Suite 400
17	Greenville, South Carolina 29601
	(864)373-2352
18	
	By: MILES COLEMAN, ESQ.
19	miles.coleman@nelsonmullins.com
20	
21	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTH
	CAROLINA
22	Attorneys for the Federal Defendants
	1441 Main Street, Suite 500
23	Columbia, South Carolina 29201
_	(803) 929-3030
24	
	By: BENJAMIN TAKEMOTO, ESQ.
25	benjamin.Takemoto@usdoj.gov

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	Also Present:
4	ETHAN STRICKLAND, Summer Associate
5	GEORGINA WILSON, Summer Associate,
	Cravath Swaine & Moore
6	
	ROCCO MERCURIO, Videographer
7	
	DAN ACOSTA, Concierge
8	
	~000~
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	

1 They still have to go through the 2 licensing process. And then you have your private CPAs, which would be this, 3 our child placing agencies. Miracle 4 5 Hill, a couple of other ones are, was treated like our DSS foster homes. When 6 7 they got this centralized board rate, 8 non-therapeutic kids placed in 9 non-therapeutic homes.

- Q. I see. Thank you for that clarification. Were there some that I think happened prior to this contract that motivated DSS to enter into a standard contract with all CPAs at that time?
- A. So prior to the 2019 contract, there was, Miracle Hill was receiving a subsidy of \$10 per day per child. Other organizations did not receive that. So in 2019 we made sure that all of the other organizations did receive that same \$10 per day per child.
- Q. And do you know if there was a reason that only Miracle Hill received that -- is there a name for that \$10 per

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 43 1 see. 2 So if you are on Article 3, 3 contractor's responsibility. Thank you. That is exactly 4 Q. 5 what I was looking for. So under Article 6 3, number 1, the first responsibility of 7 a contractor reads -- and by the way, 8 does contractor refer to the CPA here? 9 Α. Contractor means the CPA. We 10 say provider. Many names. 11 But those are all 12 interchangeable and are referring to the 13 CPAs. Great. So that provision states, 14 "Contractor must make foster homes 15 available for placement of a child upon 16 receiving an approved license." 17 How does DSS understand that requirement? 18 19 That once the foster home is Α. 20 licensed, then the agency is able to 21 place the child. 22 And then "Agency can only place 23 a child if the agency has available 24 foster homes." Is that right? 25 The agency can place a child if Α.

Page 44 1 the agency is, if the CPA has a licensed 2 foster home available for the placing of that child. 3 4 And so this means that the 5 contractor must have families that can be 6 made available for foster placements? 7 This means that the contractor 8 must have foster homes in order for us to place children. 9 10 And how does DSS understand 11 that contractors meet this requirement by 12 obtaining licensed foster homes? 13 Α. All contractors must go through 14 the licensing regulations and licensing 15 process. 16 And the licensing process, 0. 17 you're referring to how foster families 18 get licensed through DSS? 19 That is correct. Α. 20 Q. And as part of that process, 21 does DSS --22 MR. RAY: I'm sorry, was there 23 an objection there? 24 MR. COLEMAN: No, I think that 25 was someone else clearing their

Page 45 1 throat. 2 MR. RAY: Sorry about that. And as part of that licensing 3 Ο. process, does DSS understand that this 4 5 provision requires CPAs to recruit foster families? 6 7 Α. If the CPA -- I mean, this says 8 that the contractor must make foster 9 homes available for placement of children 10 upon receiving an approved license. 11 the contractor as a CPA organization, 12 they have to have licensed foster homes 13 in order to place children. 14 And in order to do that, do Ο. 15 CPAs have to recruit potential foster families to become available foster 16 17 homes? 18 So a child placing agency is, 19 it is their, I don't know, that's how 20 they -- that's how they have foster 21 I'm just trying to say, there is 22 no other way besides getting and working 23 with families in order for them to be 24 licensed, so have a foster home available 25 for the agency to place children in.

Page 46 So does that mean also that the Ο. CPAs must conduct outreach to find these families? MR. COLEMAN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. So as written in this contract, Α. they must provide training and support to foster families. If they find that a foster family is interested and this is a new foster family, they have that one-on-one mentoring. So I am sure there is outreach, but I am not -- it is not written as such in this contract. And as a part of obtaining available licensed foster homes, does that include screening potential families for suitability to be foster parents? So I don't understand what this Α. screening means, because that is not in What does that mean? my area. Is the CPA responsible for Q. making an initial determination of whether a potential foster family they

may have recruited would be suitable to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 47 1 go through the licensing process? 2 Α. I know that they all have to go 3 through licensing regulations. So that's all I would know, because I am not in 4 5 licensing. But I am sure that they have 6 to go through the same regulations that 7 any other foster parent and CPA provider 8 would, would have to go through. 9 Q. And if you know the answer to 10 this question, does that include 11 rejecting a potential applicant because 12 the CPA doesn't believe they would be 13 appropriate for the licensing process? 14 MR. COLEMAN: And I'll object. 15 You can ask it again. Can you ask it 16 There was a word or two that I again. 17 think we just had a little garbled and 18 I don't know if you said projecting or 19 protecting. 20 I'll say that again. MR. RAY: 21 MR. COLEMAN: Thank you. 22 Q. Would that include the CPA 23 rejecting a potential applicant that they 24 do not see as suitable for going through

the licensing process?

- Q. So what I was wanting to know is whether that includes race, religion and sexual orientation?
- A. So it includes facets of all of those things that our kids bring. And I don't want to narrow is down to race, religion. Because our kids bring a whole bunch of stuff with them. And that's on an individual basis, based on their culture and how they were reared in their home.
- Q. So you would agree it might include those factors, but also include others?
- A. I am saying it includes multiple factors and multiple facets of culture, and we expect foster parents to be culturally sensitive to whatever that child brings.
- Q. And that provision also refers to providing training and support to foster families. What kinds of trainings and support does DSS require a CPA to provide?
 - A. So training and support, if we

did not list it into this contract, then I cannot tell you. There are licensing requirements that requires training and we have Foster Parent Association that does training. But for me to sit here and tell you to list that, that's not in my capacity.

- Q. So you wouldn't be able to say, for example, what kinds of training and support around cultural sensitivities DSS requires CPAs to provide?
- A. So we have a contract with the Foster Parent Association that has trainings in it. There are a list of trainings in that contract. I did not bring that contract with me to go through what trainings that are offered, but I do know that we have Foster Parent Association that has particular trainings related and to assist CPAs. And I am sure that some CPAs may have their own training also. But I can tell you that we have a contract with the Foster Parent Association for training.
 - Q. Do you know if this provision

contract, which we call Foster Parent
Association that has training in it.
Again, I did not bring that contract to
go over which trainings there were, but
there is that contract that has
trainings, and those trainings are geared
towards foster parents.

- Thank you very much. Q. I want to go back to what we were talking about earlier, how this contract has changed over time, and specifically with Miracle Hill. So you had mentioned before that Miracle Hill, and please correct me if I am misstating anything that you said, but I believe you mentioned that at one point Miracle Hill was the only non-therapeutic CPA that was providing foster care services that was being reimbursed for those services; is that right? And that had to do with the missing word in the memo that Miracle Hill felt they -- that they were entitled to those funds?
- A. So Miracle Hill started receiving the \$10 per day per child. The intent was to go to our therapeutic

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 57 1 organizations, not non-therapeutic 2 organizations. 3 And because of the 4 interpretation of that language, Miracle 5 Hill received that \$10 per day per child. And at that time Miracle Hill 6 7 was providing group home foster care 8 services and was receiving that payment 9 for those particular group home services; 10 is that right? 11 So Miracle Hill had a group 12 home at that time and they were under a 13 contract for group home. And they didn't 14 have a therapeutic agency. 15 Does the fact that Miracle Hill Q. 16 was receiving those funds at that time, 17 does that mean that Miracle Hill was the 18 only non-therapeutic CPA providing those 19 foster care services at that time? 20 So repeat that? Α. 21 So the fact that Miracle Hill 22 was receiving \$10 per day per child at 23 that time, does that mean that Miracle 24 Hill was the only non-therapeutic CPA 25 providing those foster care services at

Page 65 1 questions or just for this document? 2 MR. RAY: I might have more 3 questions, I just want to take a look at my notes to make sure. 4 5 MR. COLEMAN: Okay. 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Now going off 7 the record, the time is 10:27. 8 (Off the record.) 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Now back on, 10 the time is 10:37. BY MR. RAY: 11 12 I think I just have a few more 13 clarifying questions and I will be 14 finished. 15 So going back to DSS's 16 relationship with non-therapeutic CPAs 17 before this contract was entered into, I 18 believe you indicated that there were 19 other non-therapeutic CPAs providing 20 foster care services, but they weren't 21 receiving the administrative rate for it; 22 is that right? 23 So we had other non-therapeutic Α. 24 CPAs that were not receiving the \$10 per 25 day per child, but if they requested it,

Page 66 1 we would have given it to them also. 2 Q. And does that mean that those 3 CPAs were not receiving funding through DSS before this contract was entered 4 5 into? 6 No, that doesn't mean that. So 7 the provider, the foster homes get a 8 board rate. They weren't receiving the 9 \$10 per day per child. But the providers 10 are given a board rate and those go to 11 the foster homes. 12 And so that's just a different 13 type of reimbursement that the 14 non-therapeutic CPAs were receiving, 15 that's different than the \$10 per child 16 per day? 17 Α. So those go straight to the 18 foster homes that CPA providers have 19 licensed. The \$10 per child per day is 20 an administrative rate. 21 I see. And then another 22 question. Sorry, if you can just clarify 23 what a board rate was before this 24 contract was entered into. 25 Α. So a board rate, so for a,

EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. RAY:

- Q. So after the mistake in the memo that resulted in Miracle Hill giving that administrative rate, did DSS ever tell any non-therapeutic CPA that they would be eligible to receive that rate?
- A. So I can imagine during that time if a provider asked for that rate, DSS would honor and provide them that rate, that \$10 per day per child.
- Q. But none of the other CPAs may have known that that rate was available to them?
- A. So I've asked around, because again in preparation for this, to ask why didn't other providers get this rate, and it is my understanding from asking around that no other providers asked for this rate. And so they were, Miracle Hill was the only one getting the \$10 per day per child until we did an emergency contract.
- Q. And that might have been because you didn't know about it, but you just didn't know?